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A B S T R A C T

Many mobile devices are equipped with video shooting function, and users tend to use these mobile devices to produce user generated content (UGC), and share with friends or the public owing to the popularity of social media. To make the video to be attractive, embedding appropriate background music into the video is a popular way to enrich user experience, but it is a time-consuming and labor-intensive task to find music that fits the video. This work proposes to use latent factors to recommend a list of music songs for a given video, in which the recommendation is based on the proposed score function, which involves the weighted average of the latent factors for the video and music. Moreover, we use pairwise ranking to design the objective function, and use stochastic gradient descent to optimize the proposed objective function. In the experiments, we specify two hypotheses and design several experiments to assess the performance and the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm from different aspects, including accuracy, quantitative research, and qualitative research. The experimental results indicate that the proposed model is promising in accuracy and quantitative research. Furthermore, this work provides detailed analysis to investigate the fitness of the background music that recommended by the system through interviewing the subjects.

1. Introduction

Multimedia retrieval has attracted much of attention over decades \cite{9,42,50,57,58} due to the huge collections of multimedia data available at hand. The multimedia data is presented in different modalities such as videos, images and sounds, so many information systems about multimedia require to deal with multiple modalities simultaneously. For example, many search engines allow users to search for images with text queries, and the image search involves two modalities, namely, image and text. As compared with traditional information retrieval, multimodal information retrieval (MMIR) is about searching for information in any modality on web with combinations of two or more retrieval models \cite{6}.

With the improvement of the technology, more and more mobile devices are equipped with video shooting function, and users tend to use these mobile devices to produce user generated content (UGC). Moreover, many people tend to share their videos with friends or the public owing to the popularity of social media, so mixing videos with appropriate music files as background music is a popular way to enrich user experience. However, selecting appropriate background music to supplement the content is a cumbersome process. For the users who would like to embed background music in the videos, they have to look for the music manually. Nevertheless, this is a time-consuming and labor-intensive task, explaining why this work focuses on constructing a system to help users find the appropriate background music easier and faster.

Traditional information retrieval system could directly use text query to retrieve relevant documents, since both query and document belong to the same type and could be represented as semantic feature vectors, giving a base for the system to calculate the similarity between query and documents. In contrast, the retrieval problem presented in this work involves two data types, namely, video and music. The videos comprise image frames, each of which is made of pixel; while the music is composed of sounds with a fundamental frequency and overtones. Moreover, another challenging task is that pixels and frequencies fail to reveal semantic information as provided by texts.

This work proposes to use machine learning to build the model, so we have to transform the data into feature representations. Key frames are extracted to represent the videos, and features for background music should be extracted as well. To associate the features coming from multimodal retrieval, this work proposes to use latent factors in the proposed model owing to the success of latent factors in many application domains. The latent factor models have been widely used in machine learning, which relate observable data to a set of latent factors.
variables. The goal is to infer latent factors from a collection of observable data, and these latent factors often help practitioners find insights in data. For example, topic modeling [5] discovers the topics that occur in a collection of documents, and topic modeling has been a cornerstone of information retrieval.

In the proposed model, each feature of videos and music is characterized by a latent factor, and each video or music is a linear combination of latent factors of associated features. The key idea is to map videos and music onto a latent feature space, and then calculate the similarities on the new feature space. The previous research studies have shown that using latent factor models could benefit from good scalability while keeping high predictive performance [46]. Based on latent factors and the characteristics of the problem, we formulate the retrieval problem as an optimization problem, and propose to use stochastic gradient descent (SGD) to optimize the proposed objective function.

Training data is required to train a machine learning model. To label data, one requires to associate background music with videos, but this is a challenging task since both audio and videos are highly related to personal interests and emotional state. Audio and visual signals are the two major indicators of human emotion [13], so we use emotion as the surrogate to associate videos and music to obtain positive combinations and negative combinations, all of which are used as training examples. Once the labeling process is completed, we use the training data to train the proposed model. In the testing phase, the proposed model could generate a music list for a specific video.

In performance assessment, accuracy is a commonly used metric, but the fitness between background music and videos involves subjective factors. Consequently, this work considers not only accuracy, but also user experience by inviting subjects to participate in the experiments to perform quantitative research and qualitative research. Meanwhile, the subjects have to complete a questionnaire after watching videos embedded with recommended background music, and interviewed by us to understand the criteria of their judgements for further analysis.

The main contributions of this work are listed as follows. First, we propose to use latent factor model to deal with multimedia retrieval problem, in which a list of appropriate music songs is recommended for a given video. In the proposed model, we use pairwise ranking to design the objective function, and use stochastic gradient descent to optimize the proposed objective function owing to the consideration of training time. Second, we conduct experiments to compare with several classical methods, and the experimental results indicate that the proposed method outperforms the other alternatives in accuracy. In the experiments, labeled examples are required for model training, but defining whether a music song is relevant to a specific video is influenced by subjective factors, such as personal interest and emotional state. This work proposes to use emotion as a surrogate to label the data, and we believe that other research studies that are related to emotion could benefit from this approach to label data samples. Third, to further understand subjects’ responses, we conduct quantitative and qualitative experiments. The proposed method shows competitive performance on accuracy evaluation, and significantly fits users’ preferences. Furthermore, we interview the subjects to investigate how and why they select the songs they like or dislike to perform the qualitative research. This work conducts a thorough and comprehensive analysis of the proposed method to understand the reasons that user may consider when selecting background music.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents related surveys and techniques. Section 3 introduces the proposed algorithm. Section 4 describes the architecture of the proposed system. Section 5 shows the experimental results. We further discuss the experimental results in Section 6, and the conclusions and future work are presented in Section 7.
have been rarely used in the business-to-business (B2B) environment, since items or user profiles often present complicated structures in B2B applications. For example, the product categories are always presented as tree structures, and online users’ preferences are often vague and fuzzy. Wu et al. [62] proposed a novel method for modeling fuzzy tree-structured user preferences and developed a Web-based B2B recommender system. Besides the applications mentioned above, Lu et al. [34] have conducted a thorough and comprehensive analysis of recommendation system applications, including up-to-date application developments of recommender systems, and eight main application domains of recommender systems.

Many research studies have focused on the recommendation of background music over the last decade [1,10,12,24,65], since background music could make the content more impressive. For example, Yoshida and Hayashi [65] developed a system called OtoPittan, which recommends background music for video based on the valence and arousal model. They considered to use 20 audio features along with 30 visual features to represent a feature vector, and used principal component analysis (PCA) to reduce the dimension of the feature vector. The recommendation is based on impression, which is represented as a pair of the valence level and the arousal level, and the prediction of impression is based on multiple regression model. Lin et al. [29] proposed a semantic-based home video background music recommender system, which uses texts to represent semantics of the videos and music. In video aspect, they used pre-defined objects [25] to recognize the corresponding objects in the input video. As a result, the name of each object is viewed as the text semantics of the input video. On the other hand, they used lyrics to represent the semantics of the songs. Once videos and music are represented as text representations with tf-idf [51], the similarity between videos and music could be obtained. Moreover, the post-process stage used motion-direction histogram (MDH) and pitch tempo pattern (PTP) to process the motion of videos and tempo of candidate music, respectively. By calculating the similarity between these two features with approximate string matching (ASM) [64], they re-ranked the candidate list to get the final recommendation. The system proposed by Lin et al. [29] relies on pattern recognition technique to identify the objects presented in the video frames, such that the subsequent matching procedure could be achieved in terms of texts. It is apparent that their system is different from the proposed work. Some researchers [22,28] proposed to use correlation-based approach to discover the correlation between audio and video, in which the correlation is obtained by multiple-type latent semantic analysis (MLSA) [61] and cross-modal factor analysis (CFA). In recommendation, side information about items has proven to boost the performances of collaborative-filtering techniques [36]. Oramas et al. [40] proposed to use knowledge graph to enrich the data, in which the semantic entities are extracted from item textual descriptions and linked to external knowledge graphs such as WordNet [35] and DBpedia [2] for gathering additional knowledge.

2.2. Latent factor model

Collaborative filtering (CF) recommender systems attracted much of attention in the past decade [15,43,66]. Matrix factorization [20] is one of the most popular collaborative filtering methods, in which the users and items are both mapped onto a latent space, and calculate the similarities with inner products. The matrix factorization is one of the main algorithms used by the winner of Netflix competition, explaining why many research studies have used latent factors to devise algorithms over the last decade [31,48].

Factorization machines (FM) [47,49] combines the advantages of support vector machines (SVM) with factorization models, and it has become a state-of-the-art algorithm in recommender systems. Chen et al. [8] proposed a framework called feature-based matrix factorization to incorporate various features into the model. The SVDFeature is an extension of FM. Liu and Wu [30] combined latent factors and $\ell_2$ norm to formulate the recommendation problem as a $k$-nearest-neighbor ($k$NN) problem, and proposed a novel recommendation algorithm, which uses locality-sensitive hashing (LSH) [16] to reduce search time complexity. Rendle et al. [48] proposed a pairwise ranking algorithm called Bayesian Personalized Ranking (BPR) to use learning-to-rank technique to consider the ordering of the recommendation items. Deep learning has become an active research topic in machine learning, and Van den Oord et al. [38] proposed to use convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to predict latent factors from music audio when latent factors cannot be obtained from usage data. It is apparent that the purpose of this work is different from our approach, since the purpose of this work is to predict latent factors for a given song from the corresponding audio signal, while we propose to use latent factor to associate music and videos. Moreover, the deep learning approaches always require enormous training examples for model training, and that may be a problem for some application domains, since data labeling is a time-consuming and labor-intensive task.

3. Background music recommender system

This section describes the problem and the proposed algorithm in this work.

3.1. Problem specification

Let $V = \{v^{(1)}, ..., v^{(N)}\}$ be the set of videos, and $M = \{m^{(1)}, ..., m^{(OM)}\}$ the set of music. Given a video $v \in V$, the goal is to find appropriate music songs from $M$ that are relevant to $v$. We can transform this problem into a machine learning problem, and the goal is to learn a scoring function $f: V \times M \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, in which $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. The output score is the relevance score of a given music song with respect to a given video, and a high score indicates high relevance.

Given $N$ training examples, each of which can be represented as a tuple $(v_i, m_i, y_i)$, where video $v_i \in V$, music $m_i \in M$, and $y_i \in \mathcal{Y}$. This work focuses on binary classification problem, namely $y \in \{0, 1\}$, in which $y = 0$ denotes negative class and $y = 1$ is positive class. Moreover, the system should provide a list of matching music for a specific video.

Once the data labeling process is completed, one can obtain the training set $X = \{(x_i, y_{im})\}$, in which $y_{im}$ is the relevance between music $m$ and video $v$, $y_{im} = 1$ means positive relevance, and $y_{im} = 0$ means negative relevance.

3.2. Scoring function

This work proposes to use latent factor model to find the relevance between videos and music. The basic assumption behind the proposed method is that one can project both videos and music onto a latent emotional space, and calculate the relevance scores on that space.

Let $f_v, f_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the feature vector for video $v$, and $f_m \in \mathbb{R}^d$ denote the feature vector for music $m$. Each feature in $f_v$ and $f_m$ can be mapped to a latent vector $w_v \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and a bias term $b_v \in \mathbb{R}$, where $k$ is the number of latent factors. Fig. 1 illustrates the mappings of features as described above.

Based on the latent factor concept, this work proposes a scoring function as listed in Eq. (1).

$$ f(v, m) = w_v^T w_m + b_v + b_m, \quad (1) $$

where
As presented in the above equations, we use a vector $w_v$ to denote a video $v$, in which $w_{vi}$ is the weighted average of all $w_{vi}, i = 1, \cdots, p$. The same setting is applied to music $m$ and the two bias terms, namely $b_v$ and $b_m$. Note that the parameters in the proposed scoring function are denoted as $\Theta = \{w_v, w_m, b_v, b_m\}$.

As presented in Eq. (1), the similarity or relevance between video $v$ and music $m$ is mainly determined by the inner product. When the outcome of inner product is larger, it means that the two latent vectors are closer to each other. In that case, it is more likely that $v$ and $m$ have positive correlation and vice versa. To achieve the goal, this work uses learning-to-rank approach to design loss function.

### 3.3. Loss function

We introduce a symbol $\succ$ to define the rank of data, i.e., assume that $x_i, x_j \in X$, where $X = \{v, m, v_m\}$, if the rank of $x_i$ is higher than $x_j$, it will be denoted by $x_i \succ x_j$. Normally, there will be a function $f \in F$ which determines the rank of data. If we apply the above method to our scoring function, the goal is to make $\forall x_i \succ x_j: f(x_i|\Theta) > f(x_j|\Theta)$. To achieve this goal, we have to learn a proper latent factor parameter set $\Theta$.

This work uses pairwise hinge loss function [17] presented in Eq. (2) as the loss function, in which we denote the positive data with $+$ and negative data with $-$, that is, $f(+) = f(v^{(i)}, m^{(+)}), f(-) = f(v^{(-)}, m^{(-)})$. The goal is to let the scores of positive examples be larger than those of negative examples.

$$\ell(\Theta) = \max(0, 1 + f(-) - f(+))$$

Eq. (2) can be rewritten as Eq. (3).

$$\ell(\Theta) = \begin{cases} f(-) - f(+), & \text{if } 1 > f(+) - f(-), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

(3)

where 0 is non-differentiable. We only focus on the differentiable part, which is the case $1 > f(+) - f(-)$, since $\ell(\Theta) = 0$ means the model is able to rank the input pair correctly, and model needs not be updated. Thus, the original problem is formulated as a classification problem of pairwise instances as used by Herbrich et al. [14].

When the ranking of a pair is violated, model should be adjusted to rank this pair correctly. Eq. (4) shows the loss function for the available pairs, in which $\lambda_\Theta$ is a hyperparameter for $\ell_2$ regularization term to prevent the model from over-fitting.

$$\mathcal{L}(\Theta) = \sum_{(x_i, x_j) \in X^2} \ell(x_i, x_j) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\Theta\|_2$$

(4)

### 3.4. Learning algorithm

Although hinge loss is a convex function, but it is non-differentiable at zero. This work only focuses on differentiable part of hinge loss, so one can use gradient descent algorithm to optimize it. However, using gradient descent to update model parameters once requires to loop over all training data to obtain the sum of gradients. It is apparent that the update is computationally expensive when one is confronted with large-scale data sets.

This work uses stochastic gradient descent (SGD) algorithm [7] to optimize the proposed objective function, since each update requires only a single training example. Moreover, the SGD algorithm does not need to remember which examples were visited during the previous iterations, it can process examples on the fly in a deployed system.

With SGD optimization algorithm, we randomly select a pair from the training data, and update the model parameter using the update rule listed in Eq. (5), in which $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is any parameter in the set $\Theta$, and $\eta$ is the learning rate. The partial derivatives of the loss function with respect to all parameters are listed in Eq. (6).

$$\theta_{\Theta} = \theta_{\Theta} + \eta \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(\Theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

(5)
Input: Positive examples $X^{+}$, negative examples $X^{-}$, model parameters $\Theta$, and learning rate $\eta$
Output: latent factors $\Theta$
1 foreach latent factor $\theta$ in $\Theta$ do
4 | Initialize $\theta \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
10 end repeat
6 | Randomly pick $x^{+}$ from $X^{+}$
7 | Randomly pick $x^{-}$ from $X^{-}$
8 | $f(+) = w^{+\top}w_{m} + b_{m} + b_{m}^{-1}$
9 | $f(-) = w^{-\top}w_{m} + b_{m} + b_{m}^{-1}$
10 | EscapeFlag = RANDINT(0, 9)
11 | until $f(-) + 1 > f(+) \lor$ EscapeFlag $= 0$
12 | if $f(-) + 1 > f(+)$ then
13 | Make a gradient step to minimize
14 | $f(-) + 1 - f(+)$
15 end until convergence;

Algorithm 1. Latent factor model algorithm.

$$\theta = \theta - \eta \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta}(\Theta) + \lambda \theta \right)$$

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial w_{m}} &= \sum f^{+} f^{-} w_{m} + f^{+} w_{m} + f^{-} w_{m}^{-1} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{m}} &= \sum f^{+} f^{-} b_{m} + f^{+} b_{m} + f^{-} b_{m}^{-1} \\
\frac{\partial f}{\partial b_{m}} &= \sum f^{+} f^{-} b_{m} + f^{+} b_{m} + f^{-} b_{m}^{-1}
\end{align*}$$

The proposed system in this paper can be divided into two main parts as shown in Fig. 2. The left-hand side is the core of the system, which includes two main databases, namely video and music. The purpose of this part is to use available videos and music to learn a recommendation model, so several steps are required to achieve the goal.

The first step is to extract features and mood tags from music and videos. It is apparent that music and videos are two different data formats, in which music is composed of sounds with a fundamental frequency and overtones, while videos are composed of a considerable number of frames. As a result, different approaches are required to extract features from videos and music as presented in the following sections.

The second step is to generate training examples from the databases, so that we can use the obtained training examples to train a recommendation model. This work relies on Thayer’s model of mood [59] along with the mood tags provided by video and music databases to label data. The detailed labeling process is described in the following section. Once feature extraction and the generation of training data are completed, one can use the proposed latent factor model algorithm to train a predictive model.

The right-hand side is the flowchart of how users use this system. When the user provides a specific video as input, the system analyzes this video and extracts important features from the video. Then, the recommendation engine generates a list of recommended background music. Finally, the user can select one of them or discard the list.

4.1. Video features

To obtain features from videos, we identify the key frames of videos by using FFmpeg, since these key frames could be viewed as the representatives of the videos. Then we use image processing methods to extract features of the key frames to represent these key frames. Image features can be generally divided into text features and visual features. Typical text features are keywords or abstract, and most visual features belong to three main types, including color, texture, and shape. This work only uses visual features, which are briefly introduced below.

- **BilVideo-7**
  MPEG-7 is a multimedia content description standard, and BilVideo-7 is an MPEG-7-compatible video indexing and retrieval system which was established by Bastan et al. [3]. The MPEG-7 develops an MPEG-7 profile to represent the videos by decomposing them into shots, key frames, still regions and moving regions. This work uses MPEG-7 to obtain descriptors, including color structure descriptor (CSD), color layout descriptor (CLD) [37], scalable color descriptor (SCD), homogeneous texture descriptor (HTD), and edge histogram descriptor (EHD) [63]. Note that CSD, SCD, and CLD are color descriptors, while HTD and EHD are texture descriptors.

- Scale-invariant feature transform (SIFT)
  The SIFT [33] is an algorithm to detect and describe local features in images, and it transforms an image into a large collection of feature vectors, each of which is invariant to image translation, scaling, and rotation. It has been widely used on computer vision applications, including, but not limited to, object recognition, gesture recognition, and video tracking.

- Bag of features
  Bag of features, or bag of visual words, is originated from natural language processing technique, namely, bag of words model. In this model, an image is represented by frequencies of its features.

Once the above feature extraction process is completed, we further concatenate extracted features to generate an image feature vector to represent the original video.

4.2. Music features

The raw data of an audio file is signal information. In general, audio features comprise statistical features, temporal features, timbre features, rhythm features, and high-level features. The music data set and features used in this work are from MusiClef 2012 data set, which

---

1 FFmpeg: https://ffmpeg.org.
provides block-level features [54] and PS09 features [44]. The former includes several temporal features and the latter involves timbre and rhythm features.

This work uses temporal, timbre, and rhythm features, all of which are available in the music data set. For each music, these features are concatenated to generate a music feature vector.

4.3. Data labeling

It is apparent that data labeling in this work is a challenging task, since defining whether a music song is relevant to a specific video is influenced by subjective factors, such as personal interest and emotional state. To let the data labeling task be objective, this work uses the video and music data sets that comprise user tags as the data sources in the experiments. These tags are provided by users, so they can provide semantic information of the videos or music songs.

Moreover, this work relies on the Thayer’s model of mood [59] to label data. Thayer’s model of mood was proposed by Thayer [59], who categorized emotions by two axes, stress and energy, as shown in Fig. 3. The higher the stress is, the more negative the emotion is. The higher the energy is, the more energetic the emotion is.

Based on these two axes, emotions can be categorized into four quadrants, high energy/high stress, high energy/low stress, low energy/low stress, and low energy/high stress. This work maps the mood tags of music and video to four different quadrants as presented in Fig. 3. For example, when people are confronted with high stress and low energy, they may suffer from depressed mood or loss of interest in activities they once enjoyed. In contrast, exuberance mood would appear when people are in the situation of low stress and high energy.

Once the mapping procedure is completed, all music songs and videos have their own mapping quadrants. The data labeling is based on the mapping, and those music songs and videos mapping to the same quadrant are viewed as positive examples, and the others are regarded as negative examples.

5. Experiments

This work focuses on two hypotheses as listed below, and designs experiments to verify whether the hypotheses are true.

$H_1$: The accuracy of recommendation can be effectively improved by using emotions and latent factors.

$H_2$: The background music which is recommended based on

![Thayer's model of mood](image-url)
The introductions for the two data sets are presented below.

5.1. Data sets

This work focuses on recommending background music that is relevant to a given video. Thus, the data sources should involve video and music datasets, and the two datasets should include tag information for labeling purpose. In the experiments, this work uses two data sets, in which UGV is a video data set and MusiClef 2012 is a music data set. The introductions for the two data sets are presented below.

- **UGV**
  This data set is generated by Shah et al. [55], and it comprises 1265 user generated videos (UGV) that were handheld shooting with Android smart phones. Besides the videos, information from smart phone sensors are also included in the data set, such as GPS, gyro, and position. This work only focuses on the videos in the experiments. Moreover, the mood tags of videos are also available in this data set [55], in which the tags were obtained from crowd-sourcing. Some mood tags and their corresponding quadrants are presented in Fig. 4a.

- **MusiClef 2012**
  MusiClef 2012 data set was first used in “MusiClef Multimodal Music Tagging Task at MediaEval2012” contest, and it comprises 1355 songs originated by 218 different musicians, singers, or groups. This work uses the data set pre-processed by Schedl et al. [52] in the experiments. This data set comprises various information related to songs and singers, but we only uses music features and mood tags.

  Some mood tags and their corresponding quadrants are presented in Fig. 4b. Note that the data set involves 64 different mood tags, and we only list five of them in each quadrant owing to the limit of space. The number of tags for each song is different, and the tags for each song may fall in different quadrants. For each song, we use the quadrant which most mood tags falling in as the quadrant of the song. Finally, for the sake of accuracy, we remove those songs whose quadrants can not be determined. The number of songs in the experiments is 1035.

  The MusiClef 2012 data set does not comprise audio files, but they are required in the experiments. Therefore, we use the artists and song names to collect corresponding preview files from 7digital [2] website, in which the lengths for most of them are 30 seconds to 1 minute.

5.2. Evaluation metric

The recommendation of songs is highly related to subject’s interest or emotion state, so this work evaluates the proposed method using two different metrics. The first metric is area under the curve (AUC), which focuses on performance evaluation of the model and the correlation between videos and songs. The second one involves quantitative research and qualitative research, in which we invite subjects to participate our control experiment and scenario experiment.

- **Area under the curve (AUC):**
  A receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve is a plot used to illustrate the performance of a binary classifier system as its discrimination threshold is varied. In a ROC curve the true positive rate is plotted in function of the false positive rate for different cut-off points. The area under this ROC curve is AUC, which is a value between 0 and 1. More importantly, the AUC of a classifier is equivalent to the probability that the classifier will rank a randomly chosen positive instance higher than a randomly chosen negative instance [11]. Many approaches have been proposed to calculate AUC, and we use the trapezoidal rule here. Let P be the positive set and N be the negative set, the AUC can be represented as:

  \[
  AUC = \frac{1}{|P||N|} \sum_{j \in N} \sum_{i \in P} I(f(x_i) > f(x_j))
  \]

- **Quantitative research:**
  Quantitative research is systematic and empirical investigation of observable phenomena via statistical, mathematical or computational techniques. Many methods have been used in the evaluation, and this work uses Likert, which was introduced by Likert [27], to evaluate our system, since it is a psychometric scale broadly involved in survey research that employs questionnaires.

    The Likert scale is usually presented in questionnaires to collect responses from the subjects, in which responses are scored along a range. For each question, the subjects specify level of agreement or disagreement on a symmetric agree-disagree scale. Thus, the range captures the intensity of their feelings for a given question.

    In normal questionnaires, several sub-scales are included, and each of which has several questions. The questionnaires designed for this paper will be introduced in the following sections.

- **Qualitative research:**
  Qualitative research is a broad methodological approach that encompasses many research methods. Compared with quantitative research, no statistics or mathematics are presented to support the experimental results. In contrast, qualitative methods examine why and how of decision making, and smaller but focused samples are more often used than large samples to gather an in-depth understanding of human behavior and the reasons that govern such emotions and latent factors is more suitable than the methods without using emotions and latent factors.

\[\text{Fig. 4. Mood tags and their corresponding quadrants based on Thayer's model of mood.}\]
behavior. In the experiments, we investigate the fitness of the background music that recommended by the system through interviewing the subjects. More detailed experimental design is introduced in the following section.

5.3. Experimental results

Based on the hypotheses mentioned above, this work designs two experiments. The first one is effectiveness evaluation with AUC which corresponds to $H_1$, and this experiment does not involve subjects. The second experiment is designed for $H_2$, and subjects should participate in the experiments. We further introduce control experiment and scenario experiment in the second experiment so that we can perform deeper analysis through quantitative research and qualitative research.

5.3.1. Performance evaluation

This experiment is designed to verify hypothesis $H_1$, and we apply holdout method and randomly sample 80% of the data as training data and the remaining as testing data. Both sets comprise half of positive combinations and half of negative combinations. The value ranges for all features are different, so we apply normalization process to all of the features, so that the values in all dimensions are between zero and one. Finally, we use dimensionality reduction technique introduced by Kamarainen et al. [19] to speed up the experiments.

The experiments use six comparison methods to compare with the proposed method, including random, “SGD SVM” [67], “perceptron with margins” [21], “ROMMA” [26], and “logistic regression with pegasos projection (LRPP)”. Most of them are from sofia-ml [53] package. The sofia-ml package provides the implementation of these fast incremental algorithms, which can be used for training models for classification, regression, ranking, or combined regression and ranking. Additionally, these comparison methods are classical algorithms in machine learning, so this work uses them to compare with the proposed latent factor model. The goal is to verify whether the recommendation accuracy can be effectively improved by using emotions and latent factors.

In sofia-ml package, we set the loop type option to be roc to optimize the ROC curve. Besides the methods provided by sofia-ml package, we also use a random approach to recommend songs, which acts as the baseline.

5.3.2. Control experiment

Control experiment is the quantitative part of the second experiment which is designed to verify hypothesis $H_2$. The flow of this experiment is presented in the upper and lower left part of Fig. 5. First, we randomly select a video from the video data set, and then use different methods to recommend background music to the selected video, in which the methods include random method, the proposed method, and a comparison method. Each method provides five songs as the recommendation, so we can obtain 15 background songs for a video.

In control experiment, we ask subjects to watch the original video without background music first. For each method, we randomly select a song from the five recommended songs. Then, let subjects watch the video along with three songs recommended by different methods in random order. Once the subjects finish watching a video, they answer the questionnaire of Likert scale as mentioned above. Repeat this step three times to complete one trial.

The purpose of this experiment is to investigate whether the proposed method recommends more suitable background music than other methods. Therefore we can obtain 15 background songs for a video.

5.4. Scenario experiment

Scenario experiment is the other part of the second experiment for verifying hypothesis $H_2$, which includes both quantitative and qualitative research. The flow of this experiment is presented in Fig. 5. Note that the upper part is the same as control experiment. After acquiring 15 songs from three different methods, we let subjects watch all of them in random order, then ask them to select the top three songs they like and another top three songs they dislike. The favorite song that is ranked as the first place is marked as three points, the second place as two points, the third place as one point, and no point to the songs they dislike. Finally, we can compare the performance of each method based on the scores. Furthermore, we interview the subjects to investigate how and why they select the songs they like or dislike to perform the qualitative research. By eliminating external influences, we want to verify the proposed method and also investigate the reasons that user may consider when choosing background music.

6. Discussion

Table 1 presents the results of performance evaluation. The AUC of random approach is about 0.5, which conforms to the intuition. The experimental results indicate that the proposed method outperforms the other alternatives, indicating that the proposed latent factor model
could achieve good performance in recommendation problems. The hypothesis $H_1$ is verified by this evaluation result.

6.1. Quantitative research

- Control experiment:
  We use questionnaires to conduct quantitative research in control experiment. Two sub-scales are involved in the questionnaires. One of them is “fitness” sub-scale, and an example question from this sub-scale is “Do you think this background music fits this video?”
  The purpose of this sub-scale is to verify whether the recommended music is appropriate from music aspect. The other one is “engagement” sub-scale, and an example question is “Do you feel more engaged at this video after embedding background music?”. The purpose is to verify the system effectiveness from video aspect.

  In this experiment, we randomly select 16 videos and conduct 80 trials by 80 different subjects, in which each subject is responsible for one trial. Note that we only use random and LRPP as comparison methods in control experiment, since LRPP achieves the best performance among the comparison methods, while random method could be the baseline. The experimental results are presented in Fig. 6. The experimental results indicate that the proposed method gets higher scores than comparison methods. We further carry out T-test to test the significance as listed in Table 2, in which we compare the proposed method with random and LRPP methods, respectively.

  Note that a $p-value$ could help the practitioners determine the significance of experimental results when performing a hypothesis test in statistics. Thus, the result for each T-test is presented with $p-value$. In Table 2 and the following T-test tables, the score labeled with “*” stands for $p-value < 0.05$, which means the result is “significant”, the score labeled with “**” stands for $p-value < 0.01$, which means the result is “very significant”, and the score labeled with “***” stands for $p-value < 0.001$, which means the result is “extremely significant”.

  The experimental results indicate that the proposed method significantly outperforms random and LRPP methods. To perform deeper analysis, we separate the scores by sub-scales as mentioned above, and the results are presented in Fig. 7.

  Fig. 7 shows that the proposed method outperforms other methods on both sub-scales. Then, we conduct T-test on the two sub-scales and the results are listed in Table 3.

  Table 3 shows that the proposed method significantly outperforms random method on both sub-scales, but only significantly outperforms LRPP method on sub-scale 2, namely “engagement” sub-scale. To know the reasons why the proposed method fails to achieve significant result on sub-scale 1 when compared with LRPP, we further separate the scores by questions and obtain the following results presented in Fig. 8.

  Table 4 shows the questionnaires used in the experiments, in which Q1 to Q3 belong to “fitness” sub-scale, and Q4 to Q6 belong to “engagement” sub-scale. As shown in Fig. 8, the proposed method outperforms the other methods for all of the questions. The results of T-test for this part are presented in Table 5.

  As shown in Table 5, the T-test results between the proposed method and random method conform to the previous result, namely the proposed method significantly outperforms random method. In contrast, the T-test results for the proposed method and LRPP indicate that performance difference is significant for Q1, Q4, and Q5, but insignificant for Q2, Q3 and Q6. We further explore the reasons behind the results.

  The first three questions belong to “fitness” sub-scale, but Q1 leads to significant difference while Q2 and Q3 do not. Thus, we analyze the reasons behind the phenomenon. As listed in Table 5, Q1 is about the fitness issue, while Q2 and Q3 are associated with emotion and scenario. Emotion and scenario are highly related to the contexts of subjects, such as their emotional states, interests or environments. Thus, given a song that is suitable for the video, the subjects may not agree with that the emotion and scenario of songs and videos meet with each other.

  The last three questions belong to “engagement” sub-scale, but Q4 and Q5 lead to significant difference while Q6 does not.
contents of the videos are mainly daily life scenery such as markets, parks, or beaches, rather than the movie clips which have plot, so it is hard for the subjects to feel engaged at the videos even with background music as presented in Q6. Even so, the subjects are more willing to watch the video and feeling more impressed with the video as presented in Q4 and Q5. These results verify that the proposed method could recommend the background music that fits users’ preferences.

- Scenario experiment:
The quantitative research in scenario experiments is based on the scores, which are determined by the rankings given by the subjects. We randomly select 20 videos, each of which has 15 clips with different background music and recommended by three different methods as described above. The 20 subjects are asked to rate the 15 clips derived from the same video, and the experimental results are presented in Fig. 9.

   The experimental results indicate that the differences between these three methods are insignificant, and that is against the hypothesis $H_2$. Thus, we interviewed the subjects in this experiment,

Table 3
The T-test results for scores of control experiment on sub-scales.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-scale</th>
<th>Proposed/Random</th>
<th>Proposed/LRPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-scale 1</td>
<td>0.0005 ***</td>
<td>0.1046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-scale 2</td>
<td>0.0094 **</td>
<td>0.0185 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7. The scores of control experiment on sub-scale.

Table 4
Questionnaires used in the experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Question</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Do you think this background music ‘fits’ this video?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Do you think that the ‘emotion’ of this background music and the ‘emotion’ of this video meet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Do you think that the ‘scenario’ of this background music and the scenario of this video meet?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Are you more willing to watch the video after embedding background music?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>Are you more impressed with the video after embedding background music?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Do you feel more engaged at this video after embedding background music?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5
The T-test results for scores of control experiment on questions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Proposed/Random</th>
<th>Proposed/LRPP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Do you think this background music ‘fits’ this video?</td>
<td>6E-05 ***</td>
<td>0.033 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Do you think that the ‘emotion’ of this background music and the ‘emotion’ of this video meet?</td>
<td>0.0019 **</td>
<td>0.1886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Do you think that the ‘scenario’ of this background music and the scenario of this video meet?</td>
<td>0.0067 **</td>
<td>0.2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Are you more willing to watch the video after embedding background music?</td>
<td>0.0246 *</td>
<td>0.0138 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q5</td>
<td>Are you more impressed with the video after embedding background music?</td>
<td>0.0445 *</td>
<td>0.0083 **</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q6</td>
<td>Do you feel more engaged at this video after embedding background music?</td>
<td>0.0213 *</td>
<td>0.1619</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. The scores of control experiment on questions.
and use qualitative research to analyze the reasons leading to the results.

6.2. Qualitative research

In the scenario experiment, after subjects finish ranking the clips, we interviewed the subjects about how they rank the clips and the reasons why they select the clips that they like or dislike. Each subject is given an identifier, such as P8, in the following description.

Among the 20 subjects, most of them, accounting for 60% of the subjects, stated that they rank the clips depending on whether the rhythm of music fits the content of the video: “The content of the video is playing basketball, and that is more appropriate for rhythmic music” (P8). As mentioned above, we use the mood tags to determine whether the relation between music and video is positive or negative, while most of the subjects use the rhythm of music and the content of videos as their criteria. We believe that this is the reason why the differences presented in Fig. 9 are insignificant.

As for the remaining of the subjects, three subjects stated that their criteria were based on mood: “Both of the video and the music are lively, so they are more appropriate” (P15). Four subjects said that they ranked the clips “depending on the motion of the camera and the tempo of music, and if do not apparently fit each other, it is a poor clip” (P18).

Besides, several subjects watch the clips very carefully: “There is fountain in the video, and the top 3 music songs are more synchronous with the fountain” (P13). This situation is out of our expectation when we were designing the experiments. Since the videos were taken with smartphone, another subject mentioned that “The video content is too meaningless to focus, and it is hard to choose the music.” (P11). We believe that this is another reason that makes the differences to be insignificant as shown in Fig. 9.

6.3. Comparison with other latent factor model

The proposed framework relies on the latent factor model to recommend a list of music for the given video, so the other latent factor models that have the ability of recommending items could be used in the proposed framework. This work conducts experiments on a benchmark dataset, namely movie-lens 1M (ml-1m) 3, and compares the proposed method with latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) [5].

The ml-1m dataset comprises 1,000,209 anonymous ratings of approximately 3900 movies made by 6040 MovieLens users who joined MovieLens in 2000. Ratings are made on a 5-star scale, and we use 3-star as the criterion to label data, in which the movies with ratings greater than 3-star are positive examples, while the remaining movies are negative examples. Once the labeling process is completed, the number of positive examples is 575,281 and the number of negative examples is 424,928.

In the experiments, we specify the number of latent factors as 100 and only use id information as the feature. Besides, the experiments use 80% of the data as training set and the remaining as test set, and apply 10-fold cross validation to evaluate the proposed latent factor model and LDA. We use the average AUC of the 10-fold cross validation to present experimental result as shown in Fig. 10, in which the standard deviations for LDA and the proposed method are 0.0031 and 0.0010, respectively.

The experimental results indicate that the proposed method outperforms LDA on ml-1m dataset. The proposed method considers learning-to-rank technique in the loss function to consider the ordering of recommendation items, while LDA is originally designed for discovering topics from a collection of documents. We conclude that the ranking mechanism is the main reason why the proposed model could work well on movie recommendation problem.

7. Conclusion and future work

This work proposes a background music recommender system for user generated videos, in which we use latent factors of features to train the model. We provide detailed deviation of the proposed algorithm, and propose to use SGD to optimize the proposed algorithm owing to the consideration of training time. The goal of the proposed latent algorithm is to infer latent factors from a collection of observable data, and these latent factors often help practitioner find insights in data. We believe that the proposed method could be applied to other music recommendation contexts, since the proposed method belongs to data-driven method. More importantly, the experiments in this work focus on not only technical aspect, but also human aspect. In the technical aspect, we compare with several alternatives to show that latent factor model is comparative. Moreover, we also invite subjects to conduct quantitative research and qualitative research. The findings indicate that it is possible for the proposed method to discover the latent factors behind the recommendation, and we also provide detailed analysis about the results. We propose to use emotion as a surrogate to label the data, and we believe that other research studies that are related to emotion could benefit from this approach to label data samples.

Several applications are possible based on this work. For example, one can apply the proposed model to develop a mobile app, so that the users could select appropriate background music once they finish the video recording. Additionally, the proposed system could be a multimedia retrieval system. Given a video file, the system returns relevant

---

3 MovieLens 1M Dataset: https://grouplens.org/datasets/movielens/1m/.
background music. More importantly, the proposed method could be extended to the other modalities, since the latent factors are not limited to videos and music songs. The future work is to use deep learning technique to map video and music features to a latent space to learn discriminative feature representation.
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